arXiv:1605.01662v3 [quant-ph] 24 May 2016

Algebraic treatment of non-Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonians

Francisco M. Fernández *

INIFTA (CONICET, UNLP), División Química Teórica

Blvd. 113 S/N, Sucursal 4, Casilla de Correo 16, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

Abstract

We generalize a recently proposed algebraic method in order to treat non-Hermitian Hamiltonians. The approach is applied to several quadratic Hamiltonians studied earlier by other authors. Instead of solving the Schrödinger equation we simply obtain the eigenvalues of a suitable matrix representation of the operator. We take into account the existence of unitary and antiunitary symmetries in the quantum-mechanical problem.

*E-mail: fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

1 Introduction

Hamiltonian operators that are quadratic functions of the coordinates and momenta proved to be useful for the study of interesting physical phenomena [1–4]. The eigenvalues of such Hamiltonians may be real or appear as pairs of complex conjugate numbers. The occurrence of real or complex spectrum depends on the values of the model parameters that determine the experimental setting. The transition from one regime to the other is commonly interpreted as the breaking of PT symmetry. In addition to PT symmetric those Hamiltonians are also Hermitian and one can also interpret the transition as the breaking of Hermiticity [5, 6].

In addition to those Hamiltonians directly related to experiment there are other quadratic oscillators that have been used to illustrate physical concepts in a more theoretical setting. They may be Hermitian [7–9] or non-Hermitian [10–14].

The eigenvalue equation for a quadratic Hamiltonian can be solved exactly in several different ways [3, 8, 15]. The algebraic method, based on well known properties of Lie algebras [16], is particularly simple and straightforward [5, 6]. It focusses on the natural frequencies of the quantum-mechanical problem and reveals the transition from real to complex spectrum without solving the eigenvalue equation explicitly or writing the Hamiltonian in diagonal form. The whole problem reduces to diagonalizing a $2N \times 2N$ matrix, where N is the number of coordinates.

Those earlier applications of the algebraic method focused on Hermitian operators (here we abandon the name symmetric operators used in those papers [5,6]) but the approach can also be applied to non-Hermitian ones. The purpose of this paper is to generalize those results and take into account possible unitary and antiunitary symmetries of the quadratic Hamiltonians.

In section 2 we briefly address the concepts of unitary and antiunitary symmetries. In section 3 we outline the main ideas of the algebraic method and derive the regular or adjoint matrix representation for non-Hermitian quadratic

Hamiltonians. The approach is similar to that in the previous papers [5, 6] but the results are slightly more general and convenient for present aims. In this section we also consider Hermitian, and in particular quadratic, Hamiltonians and illustrate the main results by means of a simple one-dimensional example. In section 4 we consider three two-dimensional quadratic Hamiltonians already studied earlier by other authors. They prove useful for illustrating the transition from real to complex spectrum, when the model is either Hermitian or non-Hermitian, which depends on a suitable choice of the model parameters. Finally, in section 5 we summarize the main results and draw conclusions.

2 Unitary and antiunitary symmetry

In this section we outline some well known concepts and definitions that appear in most textbooks on quantum mechanics with the purpose of facilitating the discussion in subsequent sections. Given a linear operator H its adjoint $H^\dagger$ satisfies

$$\langle f| H^\dagger |f\rangle = \langle f| H |f\rangle^* , \tag{1}$$

for any vector $|f\rangle$ in the complex Hilbert space where H is defined. If $H = H^\dagger$ we say that the operator is Hermitian.

If $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenvector of the Hermitian operator H with eigenvalue E

$$H |\psi\rangle = E |\psi\rangle , \tag{2}$$

then $\langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle = \langle\psi| H |\psi\rangle^*$ leads to $(E - E^*) \langle\psi|\ \psi\rangle = 0$. Therefore, if $|\psi\rangle$ belongs to the Hilbert space where H is defined ($0 < \langle\psi|\ \psi\rangle < \infty$) then E is real.

A unitary operator S satisfies

$$\langle Sf\ |Sg\rangle = \langle f\ |g\rangle , \tag{3}$$

for any pair of vectors f and g in the Hilbert space where S is defined. If

$$SH = HS, \tag{4}$$

we say that the linear operator H exhibits a unitary symmetry (we assume that both H and S are defined on the same Hilbert space). We can also write this expression as $SHS^\dagger = H$ because $S^\dagger = S^{-1}$. It follows from equations (2) and (4) that

$$HS\left|\psi\right\rangle = SH\left|\psi\right\rangle = ES\left|\psi\right\rangle. \tag{5}$$

An antiunitary operator A satisfies [17]

$$\left\langle Af\right.\left|Ag\right\rangle = \left\langle f\right.\left|g\right\rangle^*, \tag{6}$$

for any pair of vectors f and g in the Hilbert space where A is defined. It follows from this expression that

$$A\left(af + bg\right) = a^*Af + b^*g, \tag{7}$$

for any pair of complex numbers a and b and we say that A is antilinear [17].

If

$$HA = AH, \tag{8}$$

we say that the linear operator H exhibits an antiunitary symmetry (we assume that H and A are defined on the same Hilbert space). Since A is invertible this last expression can be rewritten as $AHA^{-1} = H$. An important consequence of this equation is that

$$HA\left|\psi\right\rangle = AH\left|\psi\right\rangle = AE\left|\psi\right\rangle = E^*A\left|\psi\right\rangle. \tag{9}$$

Therefore, if $A\left|\psi\right\rangle = a\left|\psi\right\rangle$ (that is to say, the antiunitary symmetry is exact) the eigenvalue E is real (even if H is non-Hermitian).

3 The algebraic method

In two earlier papers we applied the algebraic method to a class of Hermitian Hamiltonians that includes those that are quadratic functions of the coordinates and their conjugate momenta [5, 6]. In what follows we apply the approach to non-Hermitian Hamiltonians that are also of remarkable physical interest. Although most of the expressions are similar to those derived in the earlier articles

we repeat the treatment here in order to make this paper sufficiently self contained. The main difference is that we do not assume that H is Hermitian and the main results will be more general. In addition to it, present algebraic approach will take into account the possibility that H exhibits unitary or antiunitary symmetries.

The algebraic method enables us to solve the eigenvalue equation for a linear operator H in the particular case that there exists a set of Hermitian operators $S_N = \{O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_N\}$ that satisfy the commutation relations

$$[H, O_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{ji} O_j. \tag{10}$$

Without loss of generality we assume that the operators in S_N are linearly independent so that the only solution to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} d_j O_j = 0, \tag{11}$$

is $d_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Because of equation (10) it is possible to find an operator of the form

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i O_i, \tag{12}$$

such that

$$[H, Z] = \lambda Z. \tag{13}$$

The operator Z is important for our purposes because $Z|\psi\rangle$ is eigenvector of H with eigenvalue $E + \lambda$:

$$HZ|\psi\rangle = ZH|\psi\rangle + \lambda Z|\psi\rangle = (E + \lambda)Z|\psi\rangle. \tag{14}$$

It follows from equations (10), (12) and (13), and from the fact that the set S_N is linearly independent, that the coefficients c_i are solutions to

$$(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{C} = 0, \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is an $N \times N$ matrix with elements H_{ij}, $\mathbf{I}$ is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, and $\mathbf{C}$ is an $N \times 1$ column matrix with elements c_i. $\mathbf{H}$ is called the adjoint

or regular matrix representation of H in the operator basis set S_N [16, 18]. Equation (15) admits nontrivial solutions for those values of λ that are roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$P(\lambda) = \det(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0. \tag{16}$$

In some cases the matrix $\mathbf{H}$ may not be diagonalizable because it is not normal

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^\dagger \neq \mathbf{H}^\dagger \mathbf{H}. \tag{17}$$

Of particular interest for the present paper is a basis set of operators that satisfy

$$[O_i, O_j] = U_{ij}\hat{1}, \tag{18}$$

where U_{ij} is a complex number and $\hat{1}$ is the identity operator that we will omit from now on. It follows from $[O_j, O_i] = -[O_i, O_j]$ and $[O_i, O_j]^\dagger = -[O_i, O_j]$ that

$$U_{ij} = -U_{ij}^* = -U_{ji}, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\mathbf{U}^\dagger = \mathbf{U}, \tag{20}$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ is the $N \times N$ matrix with elements U_{ij}. The well known Jacobi identity

$$[O_k, [H, O_i]] + [O_i, [O_k, H]] + [H, [O_i, O_k]] = 0, \tag{21}$$

leads to

$$[O_k, [H, O_i]] = [O_i, [H, O_k]]. \tag{22}$$

Therefore, equations (10), (18), (19) and (22) lead to

$$(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H})^t = \mathbf{H}^t\mathbf{U}^t = -\mathbf{H}^t\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}, \tag{23}$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ is invertible because the set of operators S_N is linearly independent. Taking into account that $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}^t\mathbf{U} = -\mathbf{H}$ we conclude that $P(-\lambda) = \det(\mathbf{H} + \lambda\mathbf{I}) = 0$; that is to say: both λ and $-\lambda$ are eigenvalues.

It follows from (15) and (23) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^t\mathbf{UC} &= -\lambda\mathbf{UC} \\ \mathbf{H}^\dagger\mathbf{UC}^* &= -\lambda^*\mathbf{UC}^*. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

If H has a unitary symmetry given by the unitary operator S such that

$$SO_iS^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_{ji}O_j, \tag{25}$$

then it follows from

$$S[H, O_i]S^\dagger = [H, SO_iS^\dagger], \tag{26}$$

that

$$\mathbf{SH} = \mathbf{HS}, \tag{27}$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ is the matrix with elements s_{ij}. Under these conditions we have

$$\mathbf{HSC} = \mathbf{SHC} = \lambda\mathbf{SC}. \tag{28}$$

Therefore, if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for all $i \neq j$ then $\mathbf{SC}_i = s_i\mathbf{C}_i$.

It follows from

$$S[O_i, O_j]S^\dagger = [SO_iS^\dagger, SO_jS^\dagger] = U_{ij}, \tag{29}$$

that

$$\mathbf{S}^t\mathbf{US} = \mathbf{U}. \tag{30}$$

Suppose that H has an antiunitary symmetry given by the antiunitary operator A and that

$$AO_iA^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ji}O_j. \tag{31}$$

Then, it follows from

$$A[H, O_i]A^{-1} = [H, AO_iA^{-1}], \tag{32}$$

that

$$\mathbf{AH}^* = \mathbf{HA}, \tag{33}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the matrix with elements a_{ij}. Therefore, if $\mathbf{C}$ is an eigenvector of $\mathbf{H}$ with eigenvalue λ, we have $\mathbf{HAC}^* = \mathbf{AH}^*\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{HC}\right)^*$ that leads to

$$\mathbf{HAC}^* = \lambda^*\mathbf{AC}^*. \tag{34}$$

We conclude that both λ and λ^* are eigenvalues of $\mathbf{H}$ and roots of the characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda)$. If $\mathbf{AC}^* = b\mathbf{C}$, where b is a scalar, then the antiunitary symmetry is exact and $\lambda = \lambda^*$.

Since the set of operators S_N is assumed to be linearly independent then $\mathbf{A}$ is invertible and

$$\mathbf{H}^* = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{HA}. \tag{35}$$

Besides, it follows from

$$A[O_i, O_j]A^{-1} = [AO_iA^{-1}, AO_iA^{-1}] = U_{ij}^*, \tag{36}$$

that

$$\mathbf{A}^t\mathbf{UA} = \mathbf{U}^* = \mathbf{U}^t = -\mathbf{U}. \tag{37}$$

3.1 Hermitian Hamiltonians

The case $H^\dagger = H$ is of particular interest for several physical applications [1–4] and was studied in detail in our earlier papers [5, 6]. In what follows we summarize the main results.

When H is Hermitian we have some additional useful relationships. For example, the commutator relation $[H, O_i]^\dagger = -[H, O_i]$ leads to

$$H_{ij}^* = -H_{ij}. \tag{38}$$

It follows from this equations (23) and (20) that $\mathbf{H}$ is $\mathbf{U}$-pseudo-Hermitian [6]:

$$\mathbf{H}^\dagger = \mathbf{UHU}^{-1}, \tag{39}$$

(see [19–23] for a more general and detailed discussion of pseudo-Hermiticity or quasi-Hermiticity). Note that $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}^\dagger$ share eigenvalues

$$\mathbf{H}^\dagger\mathbf{UC} = \lambda\mathbf{UC}. \tag{40}$$

Another important relationship follows from the fact that $[H, Z]^\dagger = -[H, Z^\dagger]$:

$$[H, Z^\dagger] = -\lambda^* Z^\dagger. \tag{41}$$

According to what was argued above if $|\psi\rangle$ and $Z|\psi\rangle$ belong to the Hilbert space, then both E and λ are real. In the language of quantum mechanics we often say that Z and $Z^\dagger$ are a pair of annihilation-creation or ladder operators because, in addition to (14), we also have

$$HZ^\dagger|\psi\rangle = (E - \lambda)Z^\dagger|\psi\rangle\,. \tag{42}$$

3.2 Quadratic Hamiltonians

The simplest Hamiltonians that can be treated by the algebraic method are those that are quadratic functions of the coordinates and their conjugate momenta:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2K}\sum_{j=1}^{2K} \gamma_{ij} O_i O_j, \tag{43}$$

where $\{O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_{2K}\} = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_K\}$, $[x_m, p_n] = i\delta_{mn}$, and $[x_m, x_n] = [p_m, p_n] = 0$. In this case the matrix $\mathbf{U}$ has the form

$$\mathbf{U} = i\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{44}$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ are the $K \times K$ zero and identity matrices, respectively, which already satisfies $\mathbf{U}^\dagger = \mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}$. The matrices $\mathbf{H}$, γ and $\mathbf{U}$ are related by

$$\mathbf{H} = (\gamma + \gamma^t)\mathbf{U}, \tag{45}$$

where γ is the matrix with elements γ_{ij}.

If $\gamma^\dagger = \gamma$ the quadratic Hamiltonian (43) is Hermitian and $\mathbf{H}$ is $\mathbf{U}$-pseudo Hermitian: $\mathbf{H}^\dagger = \mathbf{UHU}$. In this case $\gamma + \gamma^t = \gamma + \gamma^* = 2\Re\gamma$.

The Schrödinger equation for a quadratic Hamiltonian is exactly solvable and its eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be obtained by several approaches [3,8,15]. In what follows we apply the algebraic method and just obtain the eigenvalues λ_i of the adjoint or regular matrix representation which is sufficient for present

purposes. In this case the adjoint or regular matrix is closely related to the fundamental matrix [28].

3.3 One-dimensional example

We first consider the simplest quadratic Hamiltonian

$$H = p^2 + x^2 + b(xp + px), \tag{46}$$

which is Hermitian when b is real. On choosing the set of operators $S_2 = \{x, p\}$ we obtain the matrix representation

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -2ib & 2i \\ -2i & 2ib \end{pmatrix}. \tag{47}$$

Its eigenvalues

$$\lambda_2 = -\lambda_1 = 2\sqrt{1 - b^2}, \tag{48}$$

are real when $b^2 < 1$.

The eigenvalues of the Hermitian case are real when $-1 < b < 1$ and we may say that Hermiticity is broken at $b = \pm 1$. When $b = 1$ the matrix $\mathbf{H}$ exhibits only one eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ and one eigenvector

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{49}$$

and is not diagonalizable or defective. When $b = -1$ we obtain the same eigenvalue but in this case the only eigenvector is

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{50}$$

and again the matrix is defective. These particular values of b are commonly called exceptional points [24–27].

In the coordinate representation, the ground state eigenfunction and eigenvalue are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(x) &= Ne^{-\alpha x^2},\ \alpha = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{1 - b^2} + ib\right), \\ E &= \sqrt{1 - b^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

respectively. We clearly appreciate that $\psi_0(x)$ is square-integrable only when $b^2 < 1$ in agreement with the result of the algebraic method. Not knowing a suitable name for the effect that takes place at the exceptional points, in what follows we will refer to it as *broken Hermiticity* for brevity.

When $b = i\beta$, the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{H}$ are real for all real values of β. In this case there is an antiunitary symmetry $A = PT$ commonly called PT symmetry given by $AH(x,p)A = TH(-x,-p)T = H(-x,p)^*$. Its matrix representation

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{52}$$

satisfies $\mathbf{AA} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{AHA} = \mathbf{H}^*$ as argued in section 3. Note that since $PH(x,p)P = H(-x,-p) = H(x,p)$ we also have $AH(x,p)A = H(x,-p)^*$. The matrix representation in this case is $-\mathbf{A}$ and satisfies exactly the same two conditions.

At any of the exceptional points the adjoint matrix representation can be written in Jordan canonical form by means of a suitable similarity transformation. For example, when $b = 1$ we have

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -2i & 2i \\ -2i & 2i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{53}$$

By means of the matrix

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} -2i & 1 \\ -2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{54}$$

we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{HP} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

4 Two-dimensional examples

In this section we consider three two-dimensional quadratic Hamiltonians studied earlier by other authors. The first example

$$H = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + x^2 + ay^2 + bxy,\ a > 0, \tag{56}$$

is closely related to the one discussed by Cannata et al [10], Calliceti et al [28] Fernández and Garcia [12] and Beygi et al [13] and is Hermitian when b is real. On choosing the basis set of operators $S_4 = \{x, y, p_x, p_y\}$ we obtain the regular matrix representation

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2i & bi \\ 0 & 0 & bi & 2ai \\ -2i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{57}$$

Its four eigenvalues are the square roots of

$$\xi_\pm = 2\left[a + 1 \pm \sqrt{b^2 + (a-1)^2}\right], \tag{58}$$

and are real provided that $\xi_\pm > 0$. More precisely, they are real if $-(a-1)^2 < b^2 < 4a$ that reveals four exceptional points, two at each endpoint. Hermiticity is broken at the right exceptional points $b = \pm 2\sqrt{a}$. On the other hand, when $b = i\beta$, β real, the eigenvalues are real if $|\beta| < |a-1|$. In this case the non-Hermitian operator is similar to a self-adjoint one [28]. The most symmetric case $a = 1$ exhibits real eigenvalues only in the Hermitian region $0 < b^2 < 4$.

When $b^* = -b$ the operator exhibits two antiunitary symmetries $A_x = S_x T$ and $A_y = S_y T$, where $S_x H(x, y, p_x, p_y) S_x = H(-x, y, -p_x, p_y)$ and $S_y H(x, y, p_x, p_y) S_y = H(x, -y, p_x, -p_y)$, that lead to $A_x H(x, y, p_x, p_y) A_x = H(-x, y, p_x, -p_y)^*$ and $A_y H(x, y, p_x, p_y) A_y = H(x, -y, -p_x, p_y)^*$ with matrix representations $\mathbf{A}_x$ and $\mathbf{A}_y$ that satisfy $\mathbf{A}_q \cdot \mathbf{A}_q = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{A}_q \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{A}_q = \mathbf{H}^*$, $q = x, y$. These antiunitary symmetries have been named partial parity-time symmetry [13,29] and they are a particular case of other antiunitary symmetries that one can find in quadratic Hamiltonians [30].

The second example was discussed earlier by Li and Miao [11] and more recently by Miao and Xu [14] as a three-parameter model but for present purposes we can rewrite it as a two-parameter one:

$$H = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + x^2 + a y^2 + b p_x p_y, \; a > 0. \tag{59}$$

In those articles b was chosen to be imaginary but here we allow it to be also real, in which case the Hamiltonian is Hermitian.

The four eigenvalues of its regular matrix representation

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2i & bi \\ 0 & 0 & bi & 2ai \\ -2i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{60}$$

are the square roots of

$$\xi_{\pm} = 2\left[a + 1 \pm \sqrt{ab^2 + (a-1)^2}\right]. \tag{61}$$

These eigenvalues are real provided that

$$-\frac{(a-1)^2}{a} < b^2 < 4, \tag{62}$$

which reveals that there are four exceptional points as in the preceding example. Hermiticity is broken at the right ones $b = \pm 2$. On the other hand, when $b = i\beta$, β real, the eigenvalues are real if $|\beta| < |a-1|/\sqrt{a}$. The most symmetric case $a = 1$ exhibits real eigenvalues only in the Hermitian region $0 < b^2 < 4$.

Clearly, most of the mathematical features of the models (56) and (59) are similar. To what has just been said we add that when $b^* = -b$ both operators exhibit exactly the same two antiunitary symmetries A_x and A_y already discussed above.

According to Li and Miao [11] and Miao and Xu [14] when $b^* = -b$ the operator (59) is neither Hermitian nor PT symmetric but it is PT-pseudo Hermitian because $PTHPT = H^* = H^\dagger$. However, an operator H is η-pseudo Hermitian if there is an invertible Hermitian operator η such that $H^\dagger = \eta H \eta^{-1}$ [19–23]. Since the operator PT is not Hermitian (it is in fact antilinear and antiunitary) it is not correct to speak of PT-pseudo Hermiticity. The operator (59) exhibits two antiunitary symmetries given by A_x and A_y as well as the true pseudo-Hermiticity provided by an operator $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\Omega}^\dagger \tilde{\Omega}$, where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a suitable exponential operator [14].

The next example is a pair of harmonic oscillators coupled by an angular momentum and was proposed for the study of "a particle in a rotating anisotropic harmonic trap or a charged particle in a fixed harmonic potential in a magnetic field" [9]. For simplicity we rewrite this Hamiltonian in the following form

$$H = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + x^2 + ay^2 + b\left(xp_y - yp_x\right),\ a > 0. \tag{63}$$

It is Hermitian when b is real which is exactly the case considered by Rebón et al [9] but here we also allow it to be complex.

The regular matrix representation for this operator is

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -bi & 2i & 0 \\ bi & 0 & 0 & 2ai \\ -2i & 0 & 0 & -bi \\ 0 & -2i & bi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{64}$$

and its eigenvalues are the square roots of

$$\xi_\pm = 2a + b^2 + 2 \pm \sqrt{(a-1)^2 + 2(a+1)b^2}. \tag{65}$$

These eigenvalues are real provided that

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 &> -\frac{(a-1)^2}{2(a+1)}, \\ 0 &> \left(b^2 - 4\right)\left(4a - b^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Once again we appreciate that when $a = 1$ the eigenvalues are real only when the Hamiltonian is Hermitian ($-2 < b < 2$).

The Hamiltonian (63) is parity invariant and when $b^* = -b$ it is also PT symmetric: $PTHPT = TH(x, y, p_x, p_y)T = H(x, y, -p_x, -p_y)^* = H(x, y, p_x, p_y)$. The matrix representation $\mathbf{A}$ of this antiunitary symmetry satisfies $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}^*$ as argued above.

The characteristic polynomial for the three examples discussed in this section is

$$\lambda^4 - \left(\xi_+ + \xi_-\right)\lambda^2 + \xi_+\xi_- = 0, \tag{67}$$

therefore, the dynamical variables satisfy the differential equation of fourth order

$$\frac{d^4}{dt^4}q + (\xi_+ + \xi_-)\,\frac{d^2}{dt^2}q + \xi_+\xi_- = 0, \tag{68}$$

as shown in the Appendix.

The equations above show that $\xi_\pm = \omega^2 \pm \Delta$, so that the case of equal frequencies takes place at the exceptional points given by the condition $\Delta = 0$. In this case the corresponding adjoint matrix representation $\mathbf{H}_\omega$ can be written in Jordan canonical form

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}_\omega\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \tag{69}$$

where the form of the matrix $\mathbf{P}$ depends on the model.

5 Further comments and conclusions

Quadratic Hamiltonians are suitable models for the study of several physical phenomena [1, 3, 4] as well as theoretical investigations [7–9]. The algebraic method is a suitable tool for the analysis of the spectra of such oscillators [5, 6]. In this paper we extended the treatment from Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonians to non-Hermitian ones taking into account possible unitary and antiunitary symmetries.

A common feature of the two-dimensional oscillators discussed in section 4 is that they do not exhibit real eigenvalues when $a = 1$ and $b^2 < 0$. According to the algebraic method an eigenvalue λ of the regular matrix representation $\mathbf{H}$ is the differences between two energy levels of the Hamiltonian H. Therefore this frequency reveals the dependence of the energy levels on the model parameter b. For this reason, when $a \neq 1$ the energy levels can be expanded in a Taylor series of the form

$$E_{n_1n_2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E^{(j)}_{n_1n_2} b^j,\ E^{(2j+1)}_{n_1n_2} = 0, \tag{70}$$

and the eigenvalues are real for $b^2 < 0$ within its radius of convergence. If, on the other hand, $a = 1$ the perturbation corrections of odd order do not vanish and the eigenvalues can only be real for real b. In a series of papers we proposed to calculate the perturbation correction of first order to determine whether the eigenvalues of a given non-Hermitian operator are real or complex [12, 31–34]. If the perturbation correction of first order is nonzero, then the eigenvalues are complex. This simple and straightforward argument applies even if the perturbation series is divergent as long as it is asymptotic to the actual eigenvalue. The perturbation correction of first order vanishes or not depending on the symmetry of H_0; for this reason the application of group theory proved to be quite successful [12, 31–34]. The quadratic Hamiltonians studied in section 4 are exactly solvable problems that confirm the argument put forward in those earlier papers. Note that the greater symmetry of H_0 takes place when $a = 1$.

Appendix

In this appendix we derive some additional results that may be useful for future applications of the algebraic method.

For every eigenvalue λ_i we construct the operator

$$Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2K} c_{ij} O_j. \tag{71}$$

For convenience we label the eigenvalues in such a way that $\lambda_j = -\lambda_{2K-j+1}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, and when they are real we organize them in the following way:

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots < \lambda_K < 0 < \lambda_{K+1} < \ldots < \lambda_{2K}. \tag{72}$$

If we take into account that $[H, Z_i Z_j] = (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\, Z_i Z_j$ then we conclude that

$$[H, [Z_i, Z_j]] = (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\, [Z_i, Z_j] = 0, \tag{73}$$

which tells us that Z_i and Z_j commute when $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \neq 0$. If $[Z_j, Z_{2K-j+1}] =$

$\sigma_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ then we can write H in the following way

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{\lambda_j}{\sigma_j} Z_{2K-j+1} Z_j + E_0. \tag{74}$$

If ψ_0 is a vector in the Hilbert space where H is defined that satisfies

$$Z_j \psi_0 = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, K, \tag{75}$$

then $H\psi_0 = E_0\psi_0$.

Consider the time-evolution of the dynamical variables

$$O_j(t) = e^{itH} O_j e^{-itH}, \tag{76}$$

so that

$$\dot{O}_j(t) = ie^{itH}[H, O_j]e^{-itH} = i\sum_{k=1}^{2K} H_{kj} O_k(t). \tag{77}$$

If we define the row vector $\mathbf{O}(t) = (O_1(t)\, O_2(t) \ldots O_{2K}(t))$ then we have the matrix differential equation $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(t) = i\mathbf{O}(t)\mathbf{H}$ with the following solution:

$$\mathbf{O}(t) = \mathbf{O}e^{it\mathbf{H}}, \ \mathbf{O} = \mathbf{O}(0). \tag{78}$$

Since $P(\mathbf{H}) = 0$ then

$$P\left(-i\frac{d}{dt}\right)\mathbf{O}(t) = \mathbf{O}P(\mathbf{H})e^{it\mathbf{H}} = 0, \tag{79}$$

gives us a differential equation of order $2K$ for the dynamical variables. Obviously, $Z_j(t) = e^{it\lambda_j} Z_j$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2K$, satisfies this equation.

References

[1] J. Schindler, A. Li, M. C. Zheng, F. M. Ellis, and T. Kottos, Experimental study of active LRC circuits with PT symmetries, Phys. Rev. A 84 (2011) 040101.

[2] H. Ramezani, J. Schindler, F. M. Ellis, U. Günther, and T. Kottos, Bypassing the bandwidth theorem with PT symmetry, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 062122.

[3] C. M. Bender, M. Gianfreda, S. K. Özdemir, B. Peng, and L. Yang, Twofold transition in PT-symmetric coupled oscillators, Phys. Rev. A 88 (2013) 062111.

[4] B. Peng, S. K. Özdemir, F. Lei, F. Monifi, M. Gianfreda, G. L. Long, S. Fan, F. Nori, C. M. Bender, and L. Yang, Parity-time-symmetric whispering-gallery microcavities, Nature Phys. 20 (2014) 394-398.

[5] F. M. Fernández, Algebraic Treatment of PT -Symmetric Coupled Oscillators, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 54 (2015) 3871-3876. arXiv:1402.4473 [quant-ph].

[6] F. M. Fernández, Symmetric quadratic Hamiltonians with pseudo-Hermitian matrix representation, Ann. Phys. 369 (2016) 168-176. arXiv:1509.04267 [quant-ph].

[7] B-G. Englert, Quantization of the radiation-damped harmonic oscillator, Ann. Phys. 129 (1980) 1-21.

[8] C. Bender and M. Gianfreda, PT-symmetric interpretation of the electromagnetic self-force, J. Phys. A 48 (2015) 34FT01.

[9] L. Rebón, N. Canosa, and R. Rossignoli, Dynamics of entanglement between two harmonic modes in stable and unstable regimes, Phys. Rev. A 89 (2014) 042312.

[10] F. Cannata, M. V. Ioffe, and D. N. Nishnianidze, Exactly solvable nonseparable and nondiagonalizable twodimensional model with quadratic complex interaction, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 022108.

[11] J.-Q. Li and Y.-G. Miao, Spontaneous breaking of permutation symmetry in pseudo-Hermitian quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 042110.

[12] F. M. Fernández and J. Garcia, PT-symmetry broken by point-group symmetry, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014) 042107. arXiv:1308.6179v2 [quant-ph].

[13] A. Beygi, S. P. Klevansky, and C. M. Bender, Coupled Oscillator Systems Having Partial PT Symmetry, Phys. Rev. A 91 (2015) 062101.

[14] Y.-G. Miao and Z.-M. Xu, Investigation of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians in the Heisenberg picture, Phys. Lett. A 380 (2016) 1805-1810.

[15] R. Rossignoli and A. M. Kowalski, Complex modes in unstable quadratic bosonic forms, Phys. Rev. A 72 (2005) 032101.

[16] F. M. Fernández and E. A. Castro, Algebraic Methods in Quantum Chemistry and Physics, CRC, Boca Raton, New York, London, Tokyo, 1996).

[17] E. Wigner, Normal Form of Antiunitary Operators, J. Math. Phys. 1 (1960) 409-413.

[18] R. Gilmore, Lie groups, Lie algebras, and some of their applications, John Wiley & sons, New York, London, Sidney, Toronto, 1974).

[19] W. Pauli, On Dirac's new method of field quantization, Rev. Mod. Phys. 15 (1943) 175-207.

[20] F. G. Scholtz, H. B. Geyer, and F. J. W. Hahne, Quasi-Hermitian Operators in Quantum Mechanics and the Variational Principle, Ann. Phys. 213 (1992) 74-101.

[21] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermiticity versus PT symmetry: The necessary condition for the reality of the spectrum of a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 205-214.

[22] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermiticity versus PT-symmetry. II. A complete characterization of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with a real spectrum, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 2814-2816.

[23] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermiticity versus PT-symmetry III: Equivalence of pseudo-Hermiticity and the presence of antilinear symmetries, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 3944-3951.

[24] W. D. Heiss and A. L. Sannino, Avoided level crossing and exceptional points, J. Phys. A 23 (1990) 1167-1178.

[25] W. D. Heiss, Repulsion of resonance states and exceptional points, Phys. Rev. E 61 (2000) 929-932.

[26] W. D. Heiss and H. L. Harney, The chirality of exceptional points, Eur. Phys. J. D 17 (2001) 149-151.

[27] W. D. Heiss, Exceptional points - their universal occurrence and their physical significance, Czech. J. Phys. 54 (2004) 1091-1099.

[28] E. Calliceti, S. Graffi, M. Hitrik, and J. Sjöstrand, Quadratic PT - symmetric operators with real spectrum and similarity to self-adjoint operators, J. Phys. A 45 (2012) 444007.

[29] J. Yang, Partially PT symmetric optical potentials with all-real spectra and soliton families in multidimensions, Opt. Lett. 39 (2014) 1133-1136.

[30] F. M. Fernández, Space-time symmetry as a generalization of parity-time and partial parity-time symmetry, 2015. arXiv:1507.08850 [quant-ph].

[31] F. M. Fernández and J. Garcia, Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with unitary and antiunitary symmetries, Ann. Phys. 342 (2014) 195-204. arXiv:1309.0808 [quant-ph].

[32] P. Amore, F. M. Fernández, and J. Garcia, On the eigenvalues of some non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with space-time symmetry, 2014. arXiv:1403.4239 [quant-ph].

[33] P. Amore, F. M. Fernández, and J. Garcia, Non-Hermitian oscillators with Td symmetry, Ann. Phys. 353 (2014) 238-251. arXiv:1409.2672 [quant-ph].

[34] P. Amore, F. M. Fernández, and J. Garcia, Is space-time symmetry a suitable generalization of parity-time symmetry?, Ann. Phys. 350 (2014) 533-548. arXiv:1405.5234 [quant-ph].